This article was downloaded by: On: *19 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



### International Journal of Polymeric Materials

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713647664

Pyrolysis and Carbonization of Cross-Linked Poly(methyl methacrylate)

Sergei M. Lomakin<sup>a</sup>; Guennady E. Zaikov<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> Institute of Chemical Physics, Moscow, Russia

**To cite this Article** Lomakin, Sergei M. and Zaikov, Guennady E.(1996) 'Pyrolysis and Carbonization of Cross-Linked Poly(methyl methacrylate)', International Journal of Polymeric Materials, 33: 3, 133 – 140 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/00914039608029400 **URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00914039608029400

## PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Intern. J. Polymeric Mater., 1996, Vol. 33, pp. 133-140 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only © 1996 OPA (Overseas Publishers Association) Amsterdam B.V. Published in The Netherlands under license by Gordon and Breach Science Publishers SA Printed in Malaysia

# Pyrolysis and Carbonization of Cross-Linked Poly(methyl methacrylate)

SERGEI M. LOMAKIN and GUENNADY E. ZAIKOV

Institute of Chemical Physics, Moscow 117977, Russia

(Received August 16, 1993)

The thermal degradation of network copolymers of methyl methacrylate was studied as a function of the chemistry of the cross-linking agent and frequency of cross-links. Unlike the linear homopolymer, both the trimethylolpropane triacrylate and trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate networks were found to char when burned. The differences in the thermal degradation of these polymers are interpreted in terms of a simple model for kinetics of depolymerization.

KEY WORDS Pyrolysis, carbonization, poly(methyl methacrylate).

#### INTRODUCTION

Our research has focused on finding ways to increase the tendency of plastics to char when they are burned. There is a strong correlation between char yield and fire resistance.<sup>1</sup> This follows because char is formed at expense of combustible gases and because the presence of a char inhibits further flame spread by acting as a thermal barrier around the unburned material. The tendency of a polymer to char can be increased with chemical additives and by altering its molecular structure. We have identified some factors which promote the formation of char. Here, we extend this research to consider the thermal degradation behavior and flammability of chemically cross-linked poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA).

#### EXPERIMENTAL

PMMA samples were synthesized by thermal radical polymerization of MMA in the presence of BPO (1.5% wt.). MMA was dissolved in toluene to produce a 50% by weight solution. This solution was heated at 60°C for 3 hours and had a starting viscosity close to that of pure hexane. The solid PMMA was dried and put into a Petri dish and cured for 72 hours at 80°C and then for 6 hours at 120° to remove additional solvent.<sup>12</sup> The number average molecular weight ( $M_n$ ) and polydispersity obtained from size exclusion chromatography (in THF) were 2.9 and 3.9  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup>, respectively. The two cross-linked PMMA networks were prepared in toluene by free

radical copolymerization of MMA with trimethylolpropane triacrylate (TTA) and trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate (TTM). The actual cross-link densities of the copolymers were determined by solvent swelling in toluene and acetone using the technique described in References 3 and 4,

$$-\ln(1 - \nu_r) - \nu_r - \chi_1 \nu_r^2 = \rho V_0 \left(\frac{1}{M_c} - \frac{2}{M}\right) (\nu_r^{1/3} - \nu_r/2)$$

where  $v_r$  is the volume fraction of polymer in the swollen system,  $\chi_1$  is the polymer/ solvent interaction parameter,  $\rho$  is the density of unswollen polymer,  $V_0$  is the molar volume of solvent,  $M_c$  is the average mol. wt. of polymer between cross-links, and M is the average mol. wt. before cross-linking.

The cross-link density ( $\alpha$ ) is  $1/2M_c$ . For an approximate result, if M is much greater than  $M_c$  and if swelling is considerable, the negative terms on the right-hand side of the equation can be neglected, since then 2/M is small compared with  $1/M_c$ , and  $\nu_r/2$  is small compared with  $\nu_r^{1/3.4}$ .

The Final Swollen Equilibrium Polymer Volume Fraction,  $v_r$ , was determined as

$$\nu_r = \frac{W_0 \rho_s}{(W_1 - W_0) \rho_p} \times 100\%$$

where  $W_0$  is the weight of polymer before swelling,  $W_1$  is the weight of polymer after swelling,  $\rho_p$  is the density of polymer, and  $\rho_s$  is the density of solvent.

Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed in a nitrogen atmosphere using a Mettler TA 2000 thermoanalyzer at a slow heating rate (2°C/min). Char yield measurements were made under controlled condition using the NIST Cone Calorimeter.<sup>5</sup> The samples (10–20 g) were placed in a dish which was exposed to a radiant heat flux of 20 kW/m<sup>2</sup>.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

It is well known that depolymerization to the monomer is a major reaction path in the low temperature thermal degradation of PMMA.<sup>6</sup> The derivative thermogram of linear PMMA (Figure 1) indicates at least three reaction channels. The peaks centered at 200, 270 and 350°C have been previously assigned to head-to-head (H—H), and chain end (E) and random (S) scission initiation, respectively.<sup>6</sup>

It is well known a simple kinetic model for global depolymerization based on the assumption that the total number of radical polymer fragment (R) attains a steady state. The rate of weight loss from the condensed phase polymer  $(W_0 - W)$  should be proportional to the rate at which monomers  $(P_1)$  are formed.<sup>7</sup>

$$dM_1/dt = dP_1/dt = -(k_1d_0/m_0 + k_t\beta)R_1 - k_p(R - R_1)$$
(1)

where  $P_n$  and  $R_n$  are the number of polymers and free radical polymer fragments



having degree of polymerization n,  $m_0$  is the molecular weight of the monomer, and V is the volume occupied by the condensed phase polymer,  $M_1 = \sum nP_n = W/m_0 = d_0V/m_0$ , the rate constants for intermolecular radical transfer:  $P_n + R_s \rightarrow P_r + R_{n-r} + P_s$ ; termination:  $R_n \rightarrow P_n$  ( $\beta = 1$ ) or  $R_r + R_{n-r} \rightarrow P_n$  ( $\beta = R/V$ ); and depropagation:  $R_n \rightarrow R_{n-1} + P_1$  are  $k_1$ ,  $\beta k_i$  and  $k_p$ , respectively.

The first term on the right hand side of Equation (1) corresponds to the rate of formation of monomer from radical transfer and termination reactions. The second term represents the rate of formation of monomer from depropagation. Radical transfer and termination account for a relatively small fraction,  $\approx x^{-1}$  (where x is the average degree of polymerization), of the total weight loss. Consequently, the con tribution from the first term to the integrated area of peak in the derivative thermogram of a depolymerizing sample will be negligible compared to the contribution from the second term and can, therefore, be ignored.

The net rates of charges in the number of radicals having degree of polymerization n = 1 and  $n \ge 2$  are

$$dR_1/dt = (2k_s + k_i R d_0/M_1 m_0 + k_e) \sum_{j=2} P_j + k_e P_2 - (k_i d_0/m_0 + k_i \beta) R_1 + k_p R_2 \quad (2)$$

$$dR_n/dt = (2k_s + k_i R d_0/M_1 m_0) \sum_{j=n+1} P_j + k_e P_{n+1} - (k_i d_0/m_0 + k_p + k_i \beta) R_1 + k_p R_{n+1}$$
(3)

where  $k_s$  denotes the rate constant for scission of C—C bonds at random position along the polymer chain and  $k_e$  is the rate constant for the scission of a vinyl endgroup. Setting the sum over *n* equal to zero gives the following equation for the number of radicals at steady state:

$$0 = (2k_s + k_i R d_0 / M_1 m_0) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1) P_n + 2k_e \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_n - (k_i R d_0 / m_0 + k_i \beta) R$$
(4)

The solution for x >> 1 is

$$R = \frac{2(k_s + k_e/x)M_1}{\beta k_t} = [2(k_s + k_e/x)/k_t(m_0/d_0)^{N-1}]^{1/N}M_1,$$
(5)

where N = 1 for first order termination and N = 2 for second order termination.

After substituting into Equation (1) for R from Equation (5), we obtain

$$dM/dt = -2(k + k/x)\gamma^{-1}M$$
(6)

where average number of monomers which unzip before termination  $(\gamma^{-1})$  is less than the number average degree of polymerization (x).

The peaks corresponding to the individual reactions which contribute to the total rate of depolymerization can be resolved by dynamic heating to the extent that  $\int k_e(T)k_s(T)dt$  approaches zero. Assuming this to be the case, the global activation energies for Random and End-chain initiation corresponding to this model are

$$\Delta E_s = \frac{E_s + E_p - E_t \text{ (first order termination)}}{(E_s - E_t)/2 + E_p \text{ (second order termination)}}$$
(7)

and

$$\Delta E_e = \frac{E_e + E_p - E_t \text{ (first order termination)}}{(E_e - E_t)/2 + E_p \text{ (second order termination)}}$$
(8)

where  $E_s$ ,  $E_p$ ,  $E_t$  and  $E_e$  are the activation energies for the elementary reactions.

An expression for the average rate of weight loss can be derived from the following argument: At any given moment there are a total of R/2 activated polymers. Each of these fragmented polymers will unzip an average of x monomers during the time it takes to terminate depropagation,  $(\beta k_i)^{-1}$ . After substituting for R from Equation (5) we obtain,

$$dM_{1}/dt = Rx\beta k_{1}/2 = -(k_{s} + k_{e}/x)xM_{1}$$
(9)

This expression for the rate of weight loss indicates that the derivative thermogram of PMMA should have two peaks.

The high temperature reaction is Random scission initiated depolymerization which should have an activation energy of

$$\Delta E_s = E_s$$

At lower temperatures, only End-chain initiation can occur.

$$\Delta E_e = E_e$$

The generalization of Equations (6) and (9) to account other forms of initiation, such as the scission of Head-to-Head (h—h) bond in PMMA, is straightforward.

136



Thus, when  $\gamma^{-1} > x$ , there will be additional peak in the derivative thermogram corresponding to the term  $-2(k_{h-h}/x)\gamma^{-1}M_1$  with

$$\Delta E_{h-h} = \frac{E_{h-h} + E_p - E_r}{(E_{h-h} - E_i)/2 + E_p}$$
 (second order termination)

and when  $\gamma^{-1} < x$ , there should be a peak corresponding to the term  $-k_{h-h}M_1$  with  $\Delta E_{h-h} = E_{h-h}$ .

Cross-linked PMMA may be viewed as an inter-connected network of linear chains. We assume that the average kinetic chain length  $(x_1)$  of cross-linked polymers is comparable to the average degree of polymerization in the linear polymer. If the cross-linked agent does not interfere with depropagation, then the rate of depolymerization should be given by

$$dM_1/dt = Rx\beta k_t/2 = -(k_s + k_e/x)xM_1 \quad \text{with} \quad x = x_1$$

On the other hand, if the cross-linking agent obstructs further depropagation, then x should be replaced by,  $N_c$ , the average number of monomers per cross-link.

| Effective kinetic paramete | metic parameters of the thermal degradation of cross-linked FMIMA-co-11M |            |
|----------------------------|--|------------|
| PMMA-co-TTM 56:1           | A, s <sup>-1</sup>   | E, kJ/mole |
| 1 peak                     | 0,77x10 <sup>11</sup>  | 152        |
| 2 peak                     | 1,73x10 <sup>15</sup>  | 218        |
| 3 peak                     | 1,30x10 <sup>16</sup>  | 263        |
| 4 peak                     | 0,75x10 <sup>15</sup>  | 276        |

TABLE I

This analysis suggests that it may be possible to stabilize PMMA and other poly-

mers which degrade by depolymerization as well as by copolymerization. The features present in the derivative thermograms of TTM-co-PMMA network

copolymers (Figure 2) are qualitatively similar to those observed for linear PMMA. In contrast, there are differences between the derivative thermograms of TTA-co-PMMA network copolymers (Figure 3) and PMMA. The peaks corresponding to H---H and end-chain initiated depolymerization are less pronounced in the derivative thermograms of the TTA-co-PMMA network copolymers.

Our explanation for this behavior is based on the recognition that acrylate polymers, unlike the corresponding methacrylates do not depolymerize.<sup>8</sup> The assumption is that the presence of a TTA in the network suppresses further depropagation so that the average zip length of TTA/MMA copolymer is equal to the average number of monomers between cross-links ( $N_c$ ). As a result, each initiation is less productive in TTA/MMA than it was in either TTM/MMA or PMMA and the H—H and endchain sites are depleted without significant weight loss. The loss in intensity of the peaks corresponding to the low temperature depolymerization of the TTA/MMA network copolymers is accompanied by an increase in the peak attributed to random scission initiated depolymerization. This is a necessary consequence of the fact that the polymer chains which survive H—H and end-chain initiated depolymerization are susceptible to depolymerization initiated by random scission.

The activation energy for random scission initiated depolymerization should increase when cross-links are present because breaking a bond in the interior necessarily places an additional strain on the other bond in the network (Tables I and II). The Arrhenius constant of copolymer thermal degradation were calculated using the Kissinger method.<sup>9</sup>

$$\ln(b/T_m^2) = \ln(AR/E) - E/RT_m$$

where: b is the heating rate,  $T_m$  is temperature of the maximum of the DTG peak, E is effective activation energy, and A is pre-exponential factor.

Measured values of the global activation energies are surprisingly low for scission of C—C bonds ( $\approx$ 335 kJ/mole). The source of this discrepancy may be that mass

#### PYROLYSIS OF PMMA

Effective kinetic parameters of the thermal degradation of cross-linked PMMA-co-TTA

| PMMA-co-TTA 45:1 | <b>А,</b> в <sup>-1</sup> | E, kJ/mole |
|------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| 1-st peak        | -                         | -          |
| 2-d peak         | 0,50x10 <sup>14</sup>     | 203        |
| 3-d peak         | 3,50x10 <sup>16</sup>     | 270        |

| TUDER III | TA | BI | ĿE | III |
|-----------|----|----|----|-----|
|-----------|----|----|----|-----|

Char yield after TGA analysis (600°C)

| POLYMER     |       | % wt. char residue |
|-------------|-------|--------------------|
| PMMA        |       |                    |
| PMMA-co-TIM | 26:1  | -                  |
| PMMA-co-TTM | 56:1  |                    |
| PMMA-co-TTM | 82:1  | -                  |
| PMMA-co-TTM | 125:1 | _                  |
| PMMA-co-TTA | 20:1  | 1.4                |
| PMMA-co-TTA | 45:1  | 0.8                |
| PMMA-co-TTA | 78:1  | -                  |

TABLE IV

| Char yield after Cone Calorimeter tests |               |                    |
|---|---------------|--------------------|
| POLYMER                                 |               | % wt. char residue |
| РИМА                                    |               |                    |
| PMMA-co-TTM                             | 50 <b>:</b> 1 | 0.32               |
| PMMA-co-TTA                             | 26:1          | 1.42               |
| PMMA-co-TTA                             | 32:1          | 0.32               |
| PMMA-co-TTA                             | 62:1          | _                  |

transport of the degradation products plays an important role in determining the rate of weight loss. This effect would be expected to play an increasingly important role in determining the kinetics of weight loss from more cross-linked polymers. On the other hand, it is also possible that the activation energies are low because the radical character of the degrading polymers is never fully developed in the transition state. The radical sites formed by scission of  $\sigma$  bonds between monomers are influenced by concurrent formation of  $\pi$  bonds as they propagate down the chain. The derivative thermograms of some of the more highly cross-linked polymers exhibit a peak, centered at about 420-450°C. This peak is present in the derivative thermograms of all the polymers which produced char when they were burned, while it is absent from the thermograms of those polymers which did not char. Furthermore there is a positive correlation between the intensity of this peak and the amount of char that was produced when the polymer was burned (Tables III and IV).

The copolymerization of MMA with TTM does not appear to offer a significant improvement in thermal stability. The more highly cross-linked PMMA-co-TTM, however, do produce some char when they are burned. The fact that TTA itself does not depolymerize has a dramatic effect on the thermal degradation behavior of the PMMA-co-TTA network copolymers. The presence of a TTA node in the network suppresses further depolymerization so that each initiation is less productive than it was in either PMMA-co-TTM or PMMA. Indeed, the low temperature reaction channels (H-H and end-chain initiated depolymerization), which play a prominent role in the thermal degradation of linear PMMA, are almost completely shutdown in the more highly cross-linked PMMA-co-TTA. We have already alluded to the fact that the rate of weight loss due to random scission initiated depolymerization is dramatically reduced in the most highly cross-linked TTA copolymers. These observations indicate that it may be possible to improve thermal stability by substituting MMA/ acrylate copolymers for PMMA in some applications. The char forming tendency of the cross-linked copolymers should contribute to a further reduction in the flammability of these materials.

#### References

- 1. Van Krevelen, Polymer, 16, 615 (1975).
- 2. S. Singh, H. L. Frisch, and H. Ghiradella, Macromolecules, 23, 375 (1990).
- Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Engineering, Vol. 13, John Wiley and Sons, New York, p. 453, 1976.
- 4. J. H. Miller and H. Leung, J. Macromol. Sci. Chem. A, 4, 1705 (1990).
- Standard Test Method for Heat and Visible Smoke Release Rates for Materials and Products Using an Oxygen Consumption Calorimeter," ASTM: Philadelphia, PA, 1-17, 1991.
- 6. N. Grassie and H. W. Melville, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), A, 99, 14 (1949).
- 7. R. H. Boyd, in "Thermal Stability of Polymers," R. T. Conley, Ed., Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, p. 47, 1970.
- 8. S. M. Lomakin, R. M. Aseeva and G. E. Zaikov, Pol. Degr. and Stab., 36, 187 (1992).
- 9. H. E. Kissinger, Anal. Chem., 29, 1702 (1957).